



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Ch

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/031,239	04/02/2002	Roger W. Whatmore	111677	6315
25944	7590	07/13/2005	EXAMINER	
OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC				WELLS, KENNETH B
P.O. BOX 19928				
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2816		

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/031,239	WHATMORE ET AL.	
	Examiner Kenneth B. Wells	Art Unit 2816	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Kenneth B. Wells. (3) _____.

(2) Dan Tanner. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 July 2005.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant
2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: applicant's admitted prior art (AAPA) and Krishnaswamy et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: applicant's representative presented arguments against the combination of AAPA in view of the newly cited prior art Krishnaswamy et al, specifically that the combination does not meet the current claim limitation of a coplanar waveguide structure for the top electrode (in view of the fact that the newly cited secondary reference teaches a bottom electrode having said waveguide structure, rather than a top electrode, as presently claimed).

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required